

To: Arguto, William[Arguto.William@epa.gov]
From: White, Terri-A
Sent: Thur 1/23/2014 12:29:02 AM
Subject: FW: Questions for EPA

From: White, Terri-A
Sent: Wednesday, January 22, 2014 7:27 PM
To: Behringer, Caroline; Garvin, Shawn; Bloomgren, David
Cc: Jones, Enesta; duteau, helen; binetti, victoria; Capacasa, Jon
Subject: RE: Questions for EPA

Caroline et al,

see draft responses to Ken Ward's questions. I'm hoping we can get responses to him tomorrow (Thursday). I tried to use much of what has already been approved. If I added text, I indicate that by what is underlined. Ken specifically asked to speak with EPA staff people who are on the ground in Charleston, but to date, Shawn has served as our spokesperson and spoke to Ken by phone last week.

Terri and Alisha,

Perhaps you two could be in touch, so Alisha could pass on what I had initially told her I wanted to talk to the appropriate EPA people about.

But here are things I would like to talk to the appropriate EPA staffers about --

1. How as the 1 ppm "safe level" calculated? What was EPA's involvement, and how does this method match EPA's standard approach to such things?

Ex. 5 - Deliberative

2. EXACTLY what is being done to contain and remediate the site? What is the process going forward for dealing with that?

Ex. 5 - Deliberative

3. How is EPA's response to Sen Rockefeller's letter asking for a long-term study?

Ex. 5 - Deliberative

4. Has EPA reviewed the enforcement actions DEP had to take at the Nitro site where Freedom was taking this material? Is EPA concerned that, given that, neither Freedom nor DEP can be trusted with the cleanup? How could that stuff not have been watched more closely?

Ex. 5 - Deliberative

5. Is EPA concerned that DEP never inspected this site before?

Ex. 5 - Deliberative

6. Is there something about this chemical that might mask its impacts or its continued presence in our water?

Ex. 5 - Deliberative

7. How do we know the flushing methods given to the public work, and what will the long-term impact on home plumbing systems be of having this industrial chemical in them?

Ex. 5 - Deliberative

8. Can the local wastewater treatment plant properly filter this material from water being put back into the river?

Ex. 5 - Deliberative

9. Has EPA reviewed the study or studies that were the basis for the LD50 for this material?

Ex. 5 - Deliberative

10. Is EPA concerned about the lack of emergency planning, the lack of data about this chemical, and the lack of it being considered in the source water protection plan for the Elk site?

Ex. 5 - Deliberative

harmful chemicals. The President's Executive Order issued on August 1, 2013 and our Memorandum of Understanding with CSB emphasize our commitment to share information and cooperate as a means to achieve this objective. We are determined to move forward in a direction that respects the mission and duties of both organizations. We look forward to ongoing discussions with CSB and appreciate their commitment to keeping Americans safe.

Depending on the particular regulations, facilities would report their chemical information including inventories as well as prevention and response measures to EPA, States, local communities, and/or fire departments. EPA shares all facility chemical reports it receives with the CSB.

Please let me know ASAP when someone from EPA will be available to discuss these issues.

Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy

Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy

Staff Writer
The Charleston Gazette
1001 Virginia St., East
Charleston, W.Va. 25301

Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy

From: Behringer, Caroline
Sent: Wednesday, January 22, 2014 6:49 PM
To: White, Terri-A
Subject: Re: Questions for EPA

Thank you!

Caroline Behringer
Deputy Press Secretary
Office of the Administrator
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
Office: (202) 564-0098
Cell: (202) 760-1732

From: White, Terri-A
Sent: Wednesday, January 22, 2014 6:45:37 PM
To: Behringer, Caroline
Subject: Re: Questions for EPA

Just about done. Will send draft responses shortly to you and others.

From: Behringer, Caroline
Sent: Wednesday, January 22, 2014 6:38:47 PM
To: White, Terri-A
Cc: Bloomgren, David
Subject: Fw: Questions for EPA

Terri - Any progress on where these stand?

Caroline Behringer
Deputy Press Secretary
Office of the Administrator
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
Office: (202) 564-0098
Cell: (202) 760-1732

From: **Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**
Sent: Wednesday, January 22, 2014 2:21:37 PM
To: Behringer, Caroline
Subject: FW: Questions for EPA

Following up, as I understand Alisha is out ... have previously tried several times and gotten no response from Region 3.

-----Original Message-----

From: **Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**
Sent: Wednesday, January 15, 2014 7:59 PM
To: 'White, Terri-A'; 'Johnson, Alisha'
Cc: **Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**
Subject: Questions for EPA

Terri and Alisha,

Perhaps you two could be in touch, so Alisha could pass on what I had initially told her I wanted to talk to the appropriate EPA people about.

But here are things I would like to talk to the appropriate EPA staffers about --

1. How as the 1 ppm "safe level" calculated? What was EPA's involvement, and how does this method match EPA's standard approach to such things?
2. EXACTLY what is being done to contain and remediate the site? What is the process going forward for dealing with that?
3. How is EPA's response to Sen Rockefeller's letter asking for a long-term study?
4. Has EPA reviewed the enforcement actions DEP had to take at the Nitro site where Freedom was taking this material? Is EPA concerned that, given that, neither Freedom nor DEP can be trusted with the cleanup? How could that stuff not have been watched more closely?
5. Is EPA concerned that DEP never inspected this site before?
6. Is there something about this chemical that might mask its impacts or its continued presence in our water?
7. How do we know the flushing methods given to the public work, and what will the long-term impact on home plumbing systems be of having this industrial chemical in them?
8. Can the local wastewater treatment plant properly filter this material

from water being put back into the river?

9. Has EPA reviewed the study or studies that were the basis for the LD50 for this material?

10. Is EPA concerned about the lack of emergency planning, the lack of data about this chemical, and the lack of it being considered in the source water protection plan for the Elk site?

Please let me know ASAP when someone from EPA will be available to discuss these issues.

Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy

Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy

Staff Writer
The Charleston Gazette
1001 Virginia St., East
Charleston, W.Va. 25301

Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy

<http://wvgazette.com> or <http://wvgazette.com/News/MiningtheMountains>

Read my blog, Coal Tattoo at <http://blogs.wvgazette.com/coalattoo/> and follow me on Twitter, <http://twitter.com/Kenwardjr>
And check out Sustained Outrage, a Gazette watchdog journalism blog, <http://blogs.wvgazette.com/watchdog/>